

AN EXTREMUM PROPERTY CHARACTERIZING THE n -DIMENSIONAL REGULAR CROSS-POLYTOPE

WŁODZIMIERZ KUPERBERG

ABSTRACT. In the spirit of the *Genetics of the Regular Figures*, by L. Fejes Tóth [FT, Part 2], we prove the following theorem: If $2n$ points are selected in the n -dimensional Euclidean ball B^n so that the smallest distance between any two of them is as large as possible, then the points are the vertices of an inscribed regular cross-polytope. This generalizes a result of R.A. Rankin [R] for $2n$ points on the *surface* of the ball. We also generalize, in the same manner, a theorem of Davenport and Hajós [DH] on a set of $n+2$ points. As a corollary, we obtain a solution to the problem of packing k unit n -dimensional balls ($n+2 \leq k \leq 2n$) into a spherical container of minimum radius.

1. INTRODUCTION

The *regular cross-polytope* is the dual to the n -dimensional Euclidean cube. More directly, the regular cross-polytope can be described as the convex hull of the union of n mutually perpendicular line segments of equal length, intersecting at the midpoint of each of them. Obviously, the regular cross-polytope generated by perpendicular segments of length d is inscribed in a sphere of radius $r = d/2$, and the edge-length of the cross polytope is $\sqrt{2}r$. Each of the 2^n facets of the cross-polytope is a regular $(n-1)$ -dimensional simplex. The only distances between vertices of the regular cross-polytope inscribed in a ball of radius r are $\sqrt{2}r$ and $2r$.

The main goal of this note is to prove the following metric characterization of the regular cross-polytope in terms of an extremum property of its vertex set:

Theorem 1. *If V is a $2n$ -point subset ($n \geq 2$) of the unit ball in n -dimensional Euclidean space such that the shortest distance between points in V is as large as possible, then V is the set of vertices of a regular cross-polytope inscribed in the ball.*

This characterization of the n -dimensional regular cross-polytope by the extremum property of the distances between its vertices fits well into L. Fejes Tóth's theory of *genetics of regular figures* [FT, Part 2]. The theory is supported by a collection of examples illustrating how “ [...] regular arrangements are generated from unarranged chaotic sets by ordering effect of an economy principle, in the widest sense of the word ” [FT, Preface, p. x].

Theorem 1 is a generalization of a result obtained by R.A. Rankin [R] in 1955. In Rankin's version it is assumed that the points lie on the surface of the ball. Rankin states the result in a comment at the end of the proof of one of his theorems [R, p. 142]. Before Rankin, K. Schütte and B.L. van der Waerden [SW] solved the 3-dimensional case, also with the assumption that the points lie on the ball's surface.

Date: February 1, 2008.

In a similar way we generalize a theorem stated by Davenport and Hajós [DH] (proved in [AS] and in [R]), concerning a set of $n+2$ points. Again, in our generalization the restriction that the points lie on the surface of the ball is removed:

Theorem 2. *If $n+2$ points lie in the n -dimensional Euclidean unit ball, then at least one of the distances between the points is smaller than or equal to $\sqrt{2}$.*

As a direct application of the above two theorems we obtain the following result on packing balls in a spherical container:

Theorem 3. *Let S be a spherical container of minimum radius that can hold $n+2$ nonoverlapping n -dimensional balls ($n \geq 2$) of radius 1 each. Then the radius of S is $1 + \sqrt{2}$, and there is enough room in S to hold as many as $2n$ such balls. Moreover, the packing configuration of $2n$ balls in S is unique up to isometry, the balls' centers forming the set of vertices of an n -dimensional cross-polytope. Hence S cannot accommodate $2n+1$ unit balls.*

Remark. The problem of packing k unit n -dimensional balls in a spherical container of minimum radius $r = r(k, n)$ for $k \leq n+1$ easily reduces to the problem of distributing k points on the *surface* of the ball so that the shortest distance between the points is as large as possible. This problem has been solved by Rankin [R]: the points are vertices of a regular $(k-1)$ -dimensional simplex inscribed in the ball and concentric with it. Thus,

$$r(k, n) = 1 + \sqrt{2 - \frac{2}{k}} \text{ for } k \leq n+1,$$

while according to Theorem 3

$$r(k, n) = 1 + \sqrt{2} \text{ for } n+2 \leq k \leq 2n, \text{ and } r(2n+1, n) > 1 + \sqrt{2}.$$

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS

The cardinality of a set A is denoted by $\text{card}A$. $\mathbb{R}^n$ denotes Euclidean (Cartesian) n -dimensional space with the usual inner product and the metric thereby generated. The origin $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ is denoted by o . B^n denotes the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and S^{n-1} denotes the unit sphere, *i.e.*, the boundary of B^n . For $x \in S^{n-1}$, the hyperplane containing the origin and normal to x is denoted by $H_0(x)$, and $H(x)$ denotes the half-space of $H_0(x)$ opposite to x . In other words,

$$H_0(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : xy = 0\},$$

and

$$H(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : xy \leq 0\}.$$

Also, with each $x \in S^{n-1}$ we associate the set

$$C(x) = \left\{y \in B^n : \text{dist}(x, y) \geq \sqrt{2}\right\},$$

called *the crescent determined by x* . (For $n=2$, the shape of $C(x)$ resembles a crescent.)

Further, for $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\text{Conv}A$ denotes the convex hull of A and $\text{Lin}A$ denotes the linear hull of A , that is, the smallest linear subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ containing A . The interior of A is denoted by $\text{Int}A$ and $\text{Int}_L A$ denotes the interior of A relative to $\text{Lin}A$.

Next, we state a few propositions, simple enough to have their proofs omitted.

Proposition 1. $C(x) \subset H(x)$ for every $x \in S^{n-1}$.

Proposition 2. $C(x) \cap H_0(x)$ is a great $(n-2)$ -sphere of S^{n-1} . Specifically, $C(x) \cap H_0(x) = H_0(x) \cap S^{n-1}$.

Proposition 3. If $x \in S^{n-1}$, then for every point y in the closed half-ball $B^n \setminus \text{Int}H(x)$, we have $\text{dist}(x, y) \leq \sqrt{2}$.

Proposition 4. Suppose $A \subset S^{n-1}$. If $o \in \text{Int}(\text{Conv}A)$, then $\bigcap_{x \in A} H(x) = \{o\}$.

The above proposition is immediately generalized to:

Proposition 5. Suppose $A \subset S^{n-1}$ and $k = \dim \text{Lin}A$. If $o \in \text{Int}_L(\text{Conv}A)$, then $\bigcap_{x \in A} H(x)$ is the $(n-k)$ -dimensional linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$ normal to $\text{Lin}A$. In particular, $\bigcap_{x \in A} H(x) = \bigcap_{x \in A} H_0(x)$.

The above propositions imply directly the following intersection properties of the crescents determined by a subset of S^{n-1} :

Proposition 6. Suppose $A \subset S^{n-1}$. If $o \in \text{IntConv}A$, then $\bigcap_{x \in A} C(x) = \emptyset$.

Proposition 7. Suppose $A \subset S^{n-1}$ and $k = \dim \text{Lin}A$. If $o \in \text{Int}_L(\text{Conv}A)$, then $\bigcap_{x \in A} C(x)$ is the $(n-k-1)$ -dimensional great sphere of S^{n-1} lying in the linear subspace normal to $\text{Lin}A$.

Every bounded subset A of $\mathbb{R}^n$ containing at least two points is contained in a (unique) ball of minimum radius. The radius of the smallest ball containing A is called the *circumradius* of A and is denoted by $r(A)$. If A is compact, then A contains a *finite* subset of the same circumradius as A . Obviously, among such finite subsets of A there is one (not necessarily unique) of minimum cardinality.

Proposition 8. Suppose F is a finite subset of B^n with $r(F) = 1$. If $r(F') < 1$ for every proper subset F' of F , then $\dim \text{Lin}F = \text{card}F - 1$, F lies on S^{n-1} and $o \in \text{Int}_L(\text{Conv}F)$.

3. PROOFS

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n+2}\}$ be a subset of B^n . Since our goal is to show that one of the distances between points in P is smaller than or equal to $\sqrt{2}$, we may assume that the circumradius of P is 1, expanding P homothetically if needed. By this assumption, it follows that $o \in \text{Conv}P$. By a well-known theorem of Carathéodory, o lies in the convex hull of an $(n+1)$ -element subset of P , say $o \in \text{Conv}P_1$, where $P_1 = P \setminus \{p_1\}$. This implies that P_1 is not contained in $\text{Int}H(p_1)$, i.e., some point of P_1 , say p_2 , lies in the half-space complementary to $H(p_1)$. Therefore p_2 lies in the closed half-ball $B^n \setminus \text{Int}H(p_1)$, which implies $\text{dist}(p_1, p_2) \leq \sqrt{2}$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1. As we noted before, the shortest distance between the $2n$ vertices of the regular cross-polytope inscribed in B^n is $\sqrt{2}$. It follows that the distance between any two points in V is greater than or equal to $\sqrt{2}$. Observe that $r(V) = 1$, for otherwise all distances between points of V could be enlarged by expanding V homothetically. Let $V_0 \subset V$ be a set of minimum cardinality among all subsets of V whose circumradius is 1, and let $k = \text{card} V_0$. Obviously, $k \geq 2$, and by the theorem of Carathéodory, $k \leq n + 1$. We now proceed inductively:

1° If $n = 2$, then V is a four-point subset of the unit disk B^2 , and it could well be left to the reader to show that V is the set of vertices of an inscribed square. Nevertheless, we present here the following argument since it will also serve as an illustration to our inductive step 2°. By Proposition 8, V_0 is a subset of S^1 , $\dim \text{Lin} V_0 = k - 1$ is either 2 or 1 and $o \in \text{Int}_L(\text{Conv} V_0)$. Now, every point of $V \setminus V_0$ lies in $\bigcap_{x \in V_0} C(x)$. Hence, by

Proposition 7, the set $V \setminus V_0$ is contained in a “great m -dimensional sphere” of S^1 , where $m = 2 - k$. Since the set $V \setminus V_0$ is nonempty, m cannot be negative. Thus $k = 2$, and since $o \in \text{Int}_L(\text{Conv} V_0)$, the two points of V_0 are antipodes. The remaining two points of V lie in the “great 0-dimensional sphere” of S^1 determined by the line normal to $L(V_0)$, which concludes this portion of the proof.

2° Assume that $n \geq 3$ and that the conclusion of Theorem 1 is true for balls of dimension smaller than n . By Proposition 8, V_0 is a subset of S^{n-1} , $\dim \text{Lin} V_0 = k - 1$, and $o \in \text{Int}_L(\text{Conv} V_0)$. Now, every point of $V \setminus V_0$ lies in $\bigcap_{x \in V_0} C(x)$. Hence, by Proposition 7, the

set $V \setminus V_0$ is contained in a great m -dimensional sphere of S^{n-1} , where $m = n - k$. By the inductive assumption, the cardinality of $V \setminus V_0$ cannot exceed $2(m + 1)$, the number of vertices of the $(m + 1)$ -dimensional cross-polytope. That means $2n - k \leq 2(n - k + 1)$, which implies $k \leq 2$. Therefore V_0 consists of a pair of antipodes of S^{n-1} , and the remaining $2n - 2$ points of V lie on the great $(n - 2)$ -dimensional sphere of S^{n-1} , in a hyperplane perpendicular to the line containing V_0 . By the inductive assumption, $V \setminus V_0$ is the set of vertices of an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional cross-polytope, hence V is the set of vertices of an n -dimensional cross-polytope inscribed in S^{n-1} . $\square$

REFERENCES

- [SW] K. Schütte and B.L. van der Waerden, *Auf welcher Kugel haben 5, 6, 7, 8 oder 9 Punkte mit Mindestabstand 1 Platz?*, Math. Ann **123** (1951), 139-144.
- [DH] H. Davenport and G. Hajós, *Problem 35* (in Hungarian), Mat. Lapok **2** (1951), 68.
- [AS] J. Aczél and T. Szele, *Solutions to Problem 35* (in Hungarian), Mat. Lapok **3** (1952), 94-95.
- [R] R.A. Rankin, *The closest packing of spherical caps in n dimensions*, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. **2** (1955), 139-144.
- [FT] L. Fejes Tóth, *Regular Figures*, Pergamon Press, 1964.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AUBURN UNIVERSITY, AUBURN, AL 36849-5310
E-mail address: kuperwl@auburn.edu